

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

MONDAY, THE 10TH DECEMBER 2007 / 19TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 36054 of 2007(P)

PETITIONER:

**AJITHA RAVEENDRAN, AGED 42 YEARS,
W/O. RAVINDRAN, KURUVIKKATTIL HOUSE,
THOTTAMON P.O., RANNY, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.RENEE OONNITTAN

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE KERALA STATE HANDLOOM DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LTD., KANNUR-1, REPRESENTED BY ITS
MANAGING DIRECTOR.**
- 2. THE CHAIRMAN,
THE KERALA STATE HANDLOOM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
LIMITED, KANNUR-1, KERALA.**

BY ADV.SRI.E.K.NANDAKUMAR, SC.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 10/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

V.GIRI, J.

=====

W.P.(C)NO. 36054 OF 2007

=====

Dated this the 10th day of December 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner, who was working as a Packer-cum-In charge of the show room of the first respondent at Ranny, was suspended as per Ext.P1 order dtd.17.7.2007. An appeal Ext.P2 was directed to be disposed of under Ext.P3. In Ext.P4 order, it is found that a detailed departmental enquiry is required against the petitioner and that once the report is obtained, a decision will be taken on the petitioner's reinstatement in service.

2. I do not find any infirmity as such in Ext.P4. Learned counsel for the Corporation submits that serious allegations are levelled against the petitioner in Ext.P4. This includes falsification of invoices also. In the circumstances, the first respondent shall make endeavor to complete the enquiry as early as possible. The enquiry will positively be commenced within a period of one month from today and endeavor shall be made to complete it within a period of nine months thereafter. if the proceedings are not completed within the aforementioned period, the petitioner's request for revocation of the suspension and reinstatement in service shall be immediately dealt with and the

petitioner shall be entitled to take appropriate proceedings, if still there is refusal on the part of the respondents to reinstate her in service.

Subject to these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

V.GIRI, JUDGE

css/